TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2001 LB 486

things down into parts. That's analysis. But there is no synthesis where you bring them all back together to produce a coherent whole—an education which shows the relationship throughout this universe of everything that is in the universe. So people have these bits of compartmentalized knowledge. They can get on "Jeopardy", they can get on "Thousandaire", or "Hundredaire", or "Millionaire" and answer maybe specific, discrete questions but, if after they gave the answer, you said what does that mean, you get a blank stare. This bill goes in the direction of saying something is a good idea but not doing anything which realistically would achieve that purpose.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. And I'm going to give a different quote, I'm going to peraphrase a quote of my good, young friend, Senator Smith's, one of ... another of his heroes, his icons. You'll never be rid of me; I will always be here for you to kick around. Every time one of these bad bills comes up, I will be here. And it's regrettable that we sometimes feel a greater need to spare the feelings of our colleagues or to just be lazy than to assume our responsibility as deliberative lawmakers and not seriously entertain legislation like this. Here's what I mean by not seriously entertain it. Let it get a full debate. I don't think it should have been advanced by the committee, but if it's out here let it get a full debate. When it is shown to be flawed, when it is shown to be impractical, when the debate makes it crystal clear that we don't have any intention of funding it we should stop working shell games with such legislation and we should just kill it. Nobody on this floor is going to draft an amendment and say put \$6 million into this program, nobody. I'm not because I don't think the bill is good, and I don't want to see children...children tracked. So Senator Stuhr has said she'll support this amendment. I'm going to ask her a question, and if she answers it in the affirmative it will mean more money for these smaller districts. Senator Stuhr.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Stuhr, would you yield to a question?